

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/717,605	Applicant(s) MARQUERING ET AL.
	Examiner NATHAN BLOOM	Art Unit 2624

All Participants:**Status of Application:** After-Final(1) NATHAN BLOOM.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Monaco (Reg. 52041).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008**Time:** 3:25 PM EST**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/NATHAN BLOOM/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that there was a 35 USC 101 issue with claim 1 based on the recent "tied-to" requirement for method claims. Examiner suggested an amendment to include a device/apparatus for performing the steps of the claim. Applicants proposed an examiner's amendment to correct the issue based on examiner's guidance (see attached Examiner's Amendment).